

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,221	08/19/2003	Yasuhiro Yoshioka	2870-0264P	3448
	7590 04/27/200 ART KOLASCH & BI		EXAMINER	
PO BOX 747		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	CHEA, THORL	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1752	
•				
•		·	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/27/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Advisory Action						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Bri	ef					

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/643,221	YOSHIOKA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Thorl Chea	1752	

	1		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thorl Chea	1752	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 09 April 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A		in the final scientismt.	Salanna da Jadan - La
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee ce action: or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>09 April 2007</u> . A brief idate of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl	any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	7(e)), to avoid dismiss	sal of the
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	-		. ,
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a 	nsideration and/or search (see NO bw); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying t	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		coled claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		moliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 			-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 1-5,10-16 and 18-21. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ⊠ wil vided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessard. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fail se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		condition for allowan	ice because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13.		Thorl Chea Primary Examiner	
	•	Art I Init: 1752	

Art Unit: 1752

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: od the reason set forth in the Final Office Action on October 10, 2006. It is the Examiner's position that the argument with respect to the formaula (VI) in rteference to Muria et al is not persuaive since when the group R44, R45, R41, R42 are formed a ring, those group are not limited to the group previous recited such as alkyl, aryl or heterocyclic group, but a heterocyclic ring substituted with any substituent including hydrogen. The claims are not related to the formation of the alkyl, aryl or heterocyclic group to form a ring. The limitation "when R43 represent -N(R44)(R45), then R44 and R45 may taken together to form a ring or at least one of R41 and R42 and at least one of R44 and R45 may taken together to form a ring. The ring are not necessarily formed by the group previously recited. The argument with respect to claim 12 is not persuaive. The results presented in the argument such as the suppression of coloration of blank portion during storage in the dark presented in the argument is not persuaive since the results are based on the counsels'assertion. Moreover, the reults can bot be achieved without the use of bisphenol reducing agents. Applicants are referred to the specification disclosure on page 6, wherein the compound od formula (IV), (III) which is used to achieve the results shown in the argument.

THORL CHEA
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1100